

ORIGINAL ARTICLE pISSN 0976 3325 | eISSN 2229 6816 Open Access Article a www.njcmindia.org

ASSESSMENT OF SAFE INJECTION PRACTICES IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY FROM CHHATTISGARH

Divya Sahu¹, Narinder Gandhi²

ABSTRACT

Background: Unsafe injection practices are associated with transmission of blood -borne pathogens. The present study was undertaken to determine the knowledge and practice about safe injection among injection providers in a tertiary care hospital.

Methods: a cross –sectional survey of sixty two nurses was done in their working hours and 2119 observations were made in predesigned pre-tested proforma.

Results: Injection provider's knowledge about risk of transmission of pathogens like HIV was 77.4%, for HBV was 33.8%, and for HCV was 1.6%. While knowledge about unsafe injection practices like reuse of same needle was 98.3% and reuse of same syringe with needle changed was 96.7%. Risk practices observed were providers did not wore gloves (43.1%), did not washed hands (70.3%), shredding of needle not done after use (91.7%), syringes and needle were placed on a surface or carried any distance prior to disposal (64.4%), injection provider reached into a mass of used needle and syringes (36.6%) and recapping of needle was done (33.1%).

Conclusion: The results indicates knowledge about safe injection practices was sufficient, despite that unsafe practices associated with risk to provider and community was seen.

Key words: Injection providers, Knowledge, Practice, Safe injection.

Financial Support: None declared **Conflict of interest**: None declared **Copy right:** The Journal retains the copyrights of this article. However, reproduction of this article in the part or total in any form is permissible with due acknowledgement of the source.

How to cite this article:

Sahu D, Gandhi N. Assessment of Safe Injection Practices in a Tertiary Care Hospital: A Cross-Sectional Study from Chhattisgarh. Ntl J of Community Med 2015; 6(4):500-503.

Author's Affiliation:

¹Associate Professor; ²Director & Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Pt J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

Correspondence: Dr Divya Sahu

Email: drdivyasahu2014@gmail.com

Date of Submission: 21-08-15 Date of Acceptance: 10-11-15 Date of Publication: 31-12-15

INTRODUCTION

WHO defines safe injection practices as one that does not harm the recipient, does not expose the provider to any avoidable risk and does not result in waste that is dangerous to other people. ¹ This is achieved by administering an injection using a sterile device (syringe, needle, etc), adopting sterile technique by a qualified and well trained person and discarding the used devices in a puncture proof container specially designed for appropriate disposal. Any breach in the process makes the injection unsafe.²

The widespread incidences of blood borne diseases, which are often the result of infection due to unsafe

injection practices, have been an important public health problem worldwide. ³ Global estimates arrived at mathematical models have suggested that unsafe injections account for 32% new hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, 40% of new hepatitis C (HCV) virus infection and 5% of new HIV infections. ⁴ Available information suggests that the use of injections in developing countries is common and often unnecessary. ⁵ Sizeable studies in India have identified unsafe practices and blood borne viral infections have been attributed to unsafe injection practices. ^{6,7}

The problem of unsafe injections is complex and multi-factorial.⁸ Many injections are given unnecessarily because patients value them superior (more

efficacious and fast acting) in comparison to oral medications, and health care practitioners over prescribe them. ⁹ Further, knowledge regarding injection safety among injection prescribers, providers and consumers is often subnormal. ^{10,11} Thus this study was conducted to assess the knowledge and practice about safe injection among injection providers in a tertiary care hospital.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was done on injection providers (nurses) in tertiary care hospital of Raipur city, Chhattisgarh, India. Nurses who were engaged in nursing care in wards and administering injections in OPD like immunization room were taken as study subjects. Pilot study was conducted in one of the ward of same hospital. Proforma was then edited and finalized for final survey. Two sets of proforma were used. One set had open ended questions for assessment of knowledge of injection providers and other set had closed ended questions to record the process of injection administration.

Sample size was calculated using the formula $4PQ/L^2$ where prevalence, P (=70). The prevalence of unsafe injection practice is 70% according to national study done in 2004¹². L (maximum allowable error) taken was 3%, and Q is (100 – P) i.e.30. Thus total observations to be included came out to be 1904. Twenty one wards and three OPD's were selected for study purpose. Selected wards were of 10 departments (namely obstetrics & gynaecology, orthopaedics, medicine, surgery, ophthalmology, ENT, paediatric surgery unit, paediatrics, neurosurgery and cancer). Three OPDs included were ANC OPD, immunization room and injection room.

Predesigned pretested proforma was filled by interviewing injection providers. Observations pertaining to process of injection administration done by injection provider were recorded after interrogation. Data collection was done twice in a day, 4 hours per day for two week. The responses and observations recorded in paper were later entered in databases. Microsoft MS-excel was used for analysis. The observations were then interpreted in actual figures and percentages. Study definition: For study purpose, injections were considered as any medications that were injected either intravenously or intramuscularly or subcutaneously; intravenous (IV) fluid administration was also included.

RESULTS

Background about injection providers: The study assesses the injection administration process in a tertiary care hospital. For this, 62 nurses were observed during their working hours in wards and OPD's. Almost two third (62.9%) of the providers were of age group 30-35 years. Rests one third were either above thirty five years or below thirty years. All were trained and most (93.5%) of them were retrained within 1 year of survey. All the providers had knowledge about safe injection practices through trainings. Provider's knowledge about risk of transmission of HIV (77.4%), HBV (33.8%) and HCV (1.6%) by unsafe injection practices was found. Knowledge about unsafe injection practices that carry the risk were reuse of same needle (98.3%), reuse of same syringe with needle changed (96.7%) and even single use of plastic syringe & needles are not safe (8%) was seen.

Observations pertaining to safe injection practices: Risk practices associated with injection administration process had been divided into three categories namely practices prior to, during and after injection administration. In the first category which included risk practices prior to administration, almost half (43.1%) of the providers did not wore gloves and more than two-third (70.3%) providers did not washed hands before giving injection to the patients. Absence of swabbing vial top / ampoule before drawing the drug, selection of proper route and site for injection and use of disposable syringes only was found. (table-1)

In second category, risk practices observed during injection administration were needle left on vial top to withdraw additional dose (23%). Practices observed in lesser frequency were touching of needle (2.5%), reusing syringe by changing the needle (0.23%) and syringes loaded with multiple doses and multiple people were injected (0.04%). (table-2)

Table 1: Risk practices observed prior to injection administration (N=2119)

Risk practices observed prior to injection administration	Observations (%)
Washing of hand before injection not done.	1489 (70.3%)
Gloves not used.	915 (43.1%)
Swabbing of vial top or ampoule was done.	00
Proper route and site of injection was not selected.	01 (0.1%)
Disposable syringes were not used.	00
Swab not used to sterilise injection site.	838 (39.5%)

National Journal of Community Medicine | Volume 6 | Issue 4 | Oct - Dec 2015

Shredding of needle not done after use.

1944 (91.7%)

Risk practices observed during injection administration.	Observations (%)
Needle was left on vial top to withdraw additional dose.	489 (23.0%)
Touching of needle was done.	54 (2.5%)
Reusing of needle was done.	00
Reusing syringe by changing the needle was done.	05 (0.23%)
Syringes loaded with multiple doses and multiple people were injected.	01 (0.04%)
Table 3: Risk practices observed after injection administration. (N=2119)	
Table 3: Risk practices observed after injection administration. (N=2119) Risk practices observed after injection administration.	Observations (%)
Risk practices observed after injection administration.	Observations (%) 702 (33.1%)
	Observations (%) 702 (33.1%) 306 (14.4%)
Risk practices observed after injection administration. Recapping of needle was done.	702 (33.1%)
Risk practices observed after injection administration. Recapping of needle was done. Used syringe was left in area accessible to public.	702 (33.1%) 306 (14.4%) 271 (12.7%)
Risk practices observed after injection administration. Recapping of needle was done. Used syringe was left in area accessible to public. Used syringe was left in area where children can play with it.	702 (33.1%) 306 (14.4%) 271 (12.7%)

Table 2: Risk practices observed during injection administration (N=2119)

In third category, practices by which the provider herself and people in the hospital were at risk were kept. Recapping of needle was done (33.1%) and injection provider reached into a mass of used needle and syringes (36.6%) which make the provider vulnerable. Other practices like shredding of needle not done after use (91.7%), syringes and needle were placed on a surface or carried any distance prior to disposal (64.4%), , and used syringe was left in area accessible to public (14.4%). Thus, placing attendant and visitor at risk of getting infection. (Table 3)

DISCUSSION

The present study conducted in tertiary care hospital on sixty two nurses who were all trained and 93.3% were re-trained within one year of survey. Despite this, practices that were unsafe and associated with risk were observed. Safe injection practices should be observed from injection preparation to its final disposal after its use. Even before injection preparation, washing of hands with soap and water or with antiseptic solution is a prerequisite. Our study finds 29.7% hand washing and 66.9% gloves wearing practices prior to injection administration.

In another study done in Benin City, Nigeria ¹³ where nursing staff had poor knowledge of injection safety there 68.9% used disposable gloves sometimes and 28% did not used it. Also 20.5% did not wash their hands regularly. Similarly hand washing practice before injection administration was absent in study done in primary health care settings of Bangladesh. ¹⁴ In Ilorin study, none of the primary health care workers wore gloves during immunisation session. Although more than half (57.4%) had more than ten years of experience. ¹⁵

Practice after injection administration like recapping of needle after use was seen in one-third (33%) observations. Lower prevalence of recapping of needle after use was seen in similar studies done in tertiary care hospital of Kolkata West Bengal (42.5%), Burkina faso (28%),Oman (28%) and Swaziland (31%). ¹⁶⁻¹⁹ In contrary, Nigerian cross country survey observed 80% needle recapping because the survey involved all levels of health care in the country. ²⁰

In a similar study conducted on 40 staff nurses of urban health centres of Surat municipal corporation area found only 14 (35%) nurses were actually wearing gloves during the injection procedure, 32 (80%) of them used spirit swab both before and after the injection and 20 (50%) of them recapped the needle after injection by using both the hands. This activity enhances the risk of having needle stick injury. The percentage of needle stick injury among nurses came to be 65%.²¹

Despite in-job training and years of experience practices harmful with respect to care takers and visitors were observed. Used needles seen in other places outside puncture proof containers like left to public access (14.4%), seen near children area (12.7%) and placed on surfaced prior to disposal (64.4%). Shredding of needle was not done in 91.7% observations and puncture proof container for disposal was not used in 5.1% observations in our study.

Immediate disposal of used needles and syringes in a puncture proof sharps container or use of needle remover was not observed in more than two third (81.5%) of the health facilities in Bangladesh. ¹⁴ Thus sharp injuries happened frequently among injection providers and medical waste handlers.

Surprisingly, in our study injection providers were aware of risks associated with unsafe injection practices and had even experienced needle stick injuries (66.1%). This shows their lack of concern and seriousness towards the risk of transmission of blood borne viral diseases.

CONCLUSION

Our findings highlight on safe injection practices in tertiary care hospital where in training and retraining activities are timely done. Intervention like supportive supervision on proper usage of injection equipments is needed. Further, efforts should be done at provider and beneficiary level to reduce the unnecessary and avoidable use of injections. Finally rational and safe route of treatment modality should be enforced.

REFERENCES

- Hutin Y, Hauri A, Chiarello L, Catlin M, Stilwel B,Ghebrehiwet T, et al. Best infection control practices for intradermal, subcutaneous and intramuscular needle injections. Bull WHO 2003;81:491-500
- Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO), "Safety of Injections Question and Answers," Publication No. WHO/EHT/04.06, Secretariat of the Safe Injection Global Network, Department of Essential Health Technologies, 2011. Available at http://who.int/injection_safety/resources/en/index.ht ml last accessed on 08.08.2015
- Simonsen L, Kane A, Llyod J, Zaffran M, Kane M. Unsafe injections in the developing world and transmission of blood borne pathogens: a review. Bull WHO 1999; 77:789-98
- 4. Hauri AM, Armstrong GL, Hutin YJF. The global burden of disease attributable to contaminated injections given in health care settings. Int J STD AIDS. 2004; 15:7-16
- 5. Hutin YJ, Hauri AM, Armstrong GL: use of injections in health care settings worldwide 2000:literature review and regional estimates. BMJ 2003,327 (7423):1075
- 6. Lakshman M, Nichter M: Contamination of medicine injection paraphernalia used by registered medical practitioners in south India: an ethnographic study. Social Science and Medicine 2000,51:11-28.
- Rajasekaran M, Sivagnanam G, Thirumalaikolundusubramanian P, Namasivayam K, Ravindranath C: Injection practices in southern part of India. Public Health 2003, 117:208-213

- 8. Reeler AV. Anthropological perspectives on injections: a review. Bull World Health Organization 2000;78: 135-43
- 9. WHO fact sheet no.231 safety of injections.2002. Available from: http://www.int/inffs/en/fact231.html. last accessed on 19.10.2015
- 10. Anand K, Pandav CS, Kapoor SK. Injection use in a village in north India. Natl Med J India 2001;14: 143-4
- 11. Kotwal A , Priya R, Thakur R, Gupta V, Kotwal J, Seth T. Injection practices in a metropolis of north India: perceptions, determinants and issues of safety. Indian J of Med Sci 2004;58:334-44
- 12. Injection practices in India. IPEN study Group. WHO South-East Asia journal of Public Health 2012;1(2):189-200
- Vincent E Omorogbe, Vivian O Omuemu, Alphonsus R Isara. Injection safety practices among nursing staff of mission hospitals in Benin City, Nigeria. Annals of African Medicine, 2012;11(1):36-41
- Chowdhury AZ, Roy T et al.. A comprehensive situation assessment of injection practices in primary health care hospitals in Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health* 2011, 11:779
- Bolarinwa OA, Salaudeen AG, Aderibigbe SA, Musa OI, Akande TM, Bamidele JO. Injection practices among primary health care workers in Ilorin, Kwara state of Nigeria. Health Science Journal, 2012; 6(3): 495-508
- 16. Bobby Paul, Sima Roy, Dipankar Chattopadhyay, Sukamol Bisoi, Raghunath Misra, Nabanita Bhattacharya, Biswajit Biswas. A Study on Safe Injection Practices of Nursing Personnel in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Kolkata, West Bengal, India. TAF Prev Med Bull. 2011; 10(6): 681-686
- Fitzner J, Aguilera JF, Yameogo A, Duclos P, Hutin YJ. Injection practices in Burkina Faso in 2000. Int J Qual Health Care. 2004; 16(4):303-8.
- Al Awaidy S, Bawikar S, Duclos P. Safe Injection Practices in Oman in a primary health care setting in Oman . East Mediterr Health J. 2006;12 suppl 2:S 207-16
- Daly AD, Nxumalo MP, Beillik RJ. An assessment of safe injection practices in health facilities in Swaziland. S Afr Med J.2004; 94 (3):194-7
- Federal Ministry of Health, John Snow Inc/Making Injection Safer/ Nigeria. Injection safety in context of injection prevention and control trainers guide. Do not harm facilitators guide.2006;2-103.
- 21. Ashish Naik, Vaibhav Gharat, R.K.Bansal. An assessment of injection practices in urban Health centres of Surat city: are the health Care workers safe? National Journal of Community Medicine. 2012; 3(1):125-128