

# Impact of Digital Health Education among Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Vinoth Gnana Chellaiyan<sup>1</sup>, Jenniferbritto J<sup>2</sup>, Jerry Alfred<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Chennai <sup>2</sup>Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Chennai <sup>3</sup>Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Chennai

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Effective delivery of health education is critical to achieving the goal of controlled diabetes. The objective was to assess the impact of health education among patients with Type 2 Diabetes mellitus.

**Materials and Methods:** This study was quasi-experimental done with type 2 diabetes mellitus of tertiary care hospital.100 diabetes patients were included and randomized into two groups to receive health education material and standard care. The intervention consisted of health education intervention via text messages and images. The glycemic parameters were assessed before and at the end of 3 months of intervention. Paired t-tests were applied.

**Results:** Mean (SD) of HbA1c before the start of the intervention among the patients with intervention and control arm were  $10.1(\pm 0.9)$  and 10.6 ( $\pm 0.3$ ) respectively. There was a significant difference in FBS, PPBS and HbA1c- ( $198(\pm 14)$  vs  $112(\pm 6)$ ,  $277(\pm 50)$  vs  $151(\pm 16)$  and  $10.1(\pm 0.9)$  vs  $7.2(\pm 0.9)$  in the intervention group before and after the intervention (p-value <0.05).No significant difference was found in the control arm.

**Conclusion:** There was a significant difference in the group that received health education intervention compared to the standard therapy group. Thus, well-designed health education delivery could maintain better glycemic control in patients with uncomplicated type 2 diabetes mellitus.

**Keywords:** Short message services, health education, type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

# BACKGROUND

Diabetes, one of the most common noncommunicable diseases, poses a serious threat due to its increasing incidence, prevalence, and mortality. The prevalence of diabetes globally was estimated to be 9.3% (463 million people), and one in every two people with diabetes are not aware of their condition.<sup>1</sup> The prevalence of diabetes in India has a wide regional variation and varies from state to state from 4.3% in Bihar to 13.6% in Chandigarh.<sup>2</sup> lence of diabetes in India is at 11.8% (12% in males and 11.6% in females), with the highest prevalence observed in the 70+ age group. Deaths due to diabetes rose from 0.98% of all deaths in 1990 to 3.1% of all deaths in India in 2016. Diabetes and hyperglycemia accounted for about 2.75 crores disabilityadjusted life years.<sup>3</sup> The significant growth in the number of cases is more likely due to India's unprecedented rates of urbanization resulting in rapid environmental and lifestyle changes.<sup>4</sup> The average annual direct and indirect cost of diabetes treatment was found to be Rs 8,822 and Rs 3,949, respectively, and

A study conducted in India revealed that the preva-

**How to cite this article:** Chellaiyan VG, Jenniferbritto J, Alfred J. Impact of Digital Health Education among Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Natl J Community Med 2022;13(3):171-174. DOI: 10.55489/njcm.1332022394

Financial Support: None declared Conflict of Interest: None declared Correspondence: Jenniferbritto J (Email: drjenni12345@gmail.com)

Date of Submission:17-12-2021 Date of Acceptance: 08-02-2022 Date of Publication: 31-03-2022

Copy Right: The Journal retains the copyrights of this article. However, reproduction is permissible with due acknowledgement of the source.

for the lower middle class, the average expenditure was found to be 23.7\%.  $^{\rm 5}$ 

Uncontrolled Diabetes makes the disease progress rapidly and development of complications such as heart diseases, kidney diseases, nerve damage, foot ulcers, vision and hearing loss, and even mental health problems. To prevent such complications, multi-interventional therapies are necessary along with maintenance of healthy blood sugar levels.<sup>6</sup>

An effective strategy to help prevent the complication of diabetes or, even better, diabetes itself is health promotion activities. A regular reminder and motivation of patients towards healthy lifestyle practices plays a key role in the control and management. Diet and physical activity promotion programs, intensive lifestyle interventions and newly shown effective intervention of digital reminders.7 Digital reminders for health are most effective in improving patient outcomes especially if it is in synchronous with the patient's attitudes and motivations. The reminders could be in the form of texts, social media apps messages, emails. At present, it is very well possible to cover a large group of people as the majority of us own a Smartphone/ smart device now.8 Health care delivery for non-communicable diseases was disrupted since the COVID-19 pandemic. Strategies have been promulgated and followed in some countries for incessant service delivery to these patients.<sup>9</sup>

In the present study, short messaging services (SMS) text messages and health awareness images were sent to patients, and their impact on glycemic status was assessed. The objective was to study the impact of digital health education intervention among patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Study design:** The present study was a pilot study of a bigger ongoing trial-TECARE being conducted in India. This quasi-experimental study was done with two groups of patients – each consisting of 50 patients at a tertiary care teaching hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu.

**Study population:** The study population was those who with 18 years and above and patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The patients who were newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and with complications such as diabetic neuropathy, diabetic kidney disease and retinopathy were excluded. A total of 100 patients were included in the study- 50 in Group1 and 50 in Group 2. Group 1 received health education intervention and follow up; Group 2 received standard care and follow up.

**Intervention arm:** The intervention consisted of health education - text messages via short message services (SMS) and WhatsApp application images. The patients received text messages via SMS and motivational images via WhatsApp mode. Text messages were sent in the morning and images in the evening

daily. The content of the messages included the importance of regular physical activity, maintaining the ideal body weight and waist circumference, nutritional/diet tips for diabetes and insisting compliance towards diabetic medications. The text messages and images were sent in two languages- both English and regional language. The duration of such intervention was 3 months.

**Control arm:** The control group received regular standard care and follow up every 3 months.

**Randomization:** The participants were randomized into two groups using the block randomization technique. The participants had an equal chance of being allocated to any of the two arms.

Data Collection: The participants who gave informed written consent were recruited in the study. Basic socio-demographic profiles such as age, sex, residence, dietary habits were collected. Other information such as alcohol consumption, smoking history, physical activity, medication adherence and comorbid statuses such as obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, ischemic cardiomyopathy and acute myocardial infarction were also collected. Blood investigations were measured before and after 3 months of this health education intervention, and HbA1c, FBS, PPBS and average blood glucose values were measured. Compliance with drug intake was also assessed before and after the intervention, and missing two doses of medications in any month was considered poor compliance.

**Data Analysis:** The collected data were entered and analyzed with statistical software-SPSS-IBM version 21.0 Significant differences in the mean values of HbA1c, blood sugar values were assessed with paired t-tests, and independent t-tests were applied to find any difference between the groups. P-value of <0.05 was considered to be significant.

# RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of both the group study participants were comparable. (Table 1) Analysis of the mean values of HbA1c, fasting blood glucose and postprandial blood glucose before and after the intervention revealed a statistically significant reduction. (p value=0.032,0.002,0.009) The intervention was able to reduce the mean values significantly. (Table 2)

An Independent t-test was applied to find any significant difference between the two groups after the intervention. A significant difference in the mean values of HbA1c, FBS and PPBS values were between the groups at the end of the intervention. (P-value =0.012, 0.041, 0.032) (Table 3)

Also, compliance of drug intake was 100% in patients with group 1, and in group 2, the compliance was 86% at the end of the intervention.

#### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of participants

		_			
Variables	Group1 (N=50) (%)	Group 2 (N=50) (%)	P- value		
Sex					
Male	32(64)	30(60)	0.12		
Female	18(36)	20(40)			
Age group					
18-30	1(2)	2(4)			
31-45	13(26)	9(18)	0.32		
46-60	28(56)	32(64)			
>60	8(16)	7(14)			
Family history of diabetes					
Yes	3(6)	5(10)	0.49		
No	47(94)	45(90)			
Co morbidities					
Obesity	4(8)	8(16)			
Hypertension	2(4)	4(8)	0.66		
IHD	0	0			
Dyslipidemia	13(26)	11(22)			
Duration of diabetes					
≤ 5 years	23(46)	20(40)	0.87		
>5 years	27(54)	30(60)			
Tobacco history					
Yes	2(4)	3(6)	0.39		
No	48(96)	47(94)			
Alcohol history					
Yes	3(6)	1(2)	0.19		
No	47(94)	49(98)			
Drug compliance					
Yes	43(86)	42(84)	0.34		
No	7(14)	8(16)			
Mean HbA1c levels	10.1(±0.9)	10.6	0.23		
		(±0.3)			

Table 2: Glycemic parameters in interventiongroup before and after the intervention (n=50)

Mean (SD)	Mean difference (95% CI)	P-value		
(02)				
10.1(±0.9)	2.4 (2.17-2.67)	0.032		
7.2(±0.9)				
l glucose				
198(±14)	86.8(80.3-93.2)	0.002		
112(±6)				
Postprandial Blood glucose				
277(±50)	125.7(105.8-145.6)	0.009		
151(±16)				
	(SD) 10.1(±0.9) 7.2(±0.9) <b>i glucose</b> 198(±14) 112(±6) <b>Blood gluco</b> 277(±50)	(SD)         (95% CI)           10.1(±0.9)         2.4 (2.17-2.67)           7.2(±0.9)         3           d glucose         198(±14)           198(±14)         86.8(80.3-93.2)           112(±6)         3           Blood glucose         277(±50)           277(±50)         125.7(105.8-145.6)		

Table 3: Comparison of Glycemic parameters between the two groups after intervention (n=100)

Parameters	Mean	Mean difference	P-value		
	(SD)	(95% CI)			
HbA1c					
Group 1	7.2(±0.9)	-3.0(-3.82.4)	0.012		
Group 2	9.4(±1.3)				
Fasting blood glucose					
Group 1	112(±6)	-1.6(-4.6—0.9)	0.041		
Group 2	163(±18)				
Postprandial Blood glucose					
Group 1	151(±16)	-70.6(-88.9—52.4)	0.032		
Group 2	202(±47)				
Independent t test applied a p value of <0.05 is considered signif					

Independent t-test applied, a p-value of <0.05 is considered significant

# DISCUSSION

Diabetes a chronic lifestyle disease is a burden on health and the economy. However, the disease can go into partial remission without any surgical interventions on management along with intensive lifestyle interventions, thereby not only reducing the cost of the medication but also mortality and the serious complications of diabetes.<sup>10</sup>

During COVID-19 Pandemic, the overwhelming need for resources for COVID-19 services, the health personnel were reassigned to COVID-19 care and noncommunicable disease services were severely disrupted.<sup>11,12</sup>

Motivation and continuing health education have proven to be effective in controlling diabetes and maintaining better compliance. Health education becomes an absolute necessity for diseases like diabetes where lifestyle modifications are as important as drug management. It gives us the knowledge and skills for improvement and creates a positive attitude towards health and maintaining that health. The difference in glycemic parameters before and after the health intervention has shown a significant improvement in this study.<sup>13</sup>

Hawthorne K et al<sup>14</sup> showed improvement in glycemic control after health education intervention and stated that the intervention significantly had short term effects on the health. Chawla SS et al<sup>15</sup> reported in their study that effective health education improved the glycemic control of the patients and slowed down the disease progression. M.M Ahmed et al <sup>16</sup> also reported that educational intervention had effectively helped in glycemic control. Additionally, their study showed a strong negative correlation score between patients' glycemic levels and knowledge/ attitude of the patients. Furthermore, with health education intervention the drug compliance also has shown an increase in this study, the compliance of drug intake was 100% in patients in the intervention group and was 86% in the control group at the end of the intervention. Adherence to medical advice plays a vital role in the management of many chronic diseases including diabetes.<sup>17,18</sup> The health intervention through smart phones, smart devices, therefore, prove to be beneficial, similar to previous studies.<sup>19,20</sup>

There are existing reminder systems already set up and in use for several treatment strategies for some diseases, and immunization. The reminder systems notify the patients about their appointments, and the recall system contacts those who have missed their appointments and encourage them to reschedule. This system improves the treatment outcome, reduces morbidity and mortality, help reduce treatment costs too in the long run and improve drug compliance.<sup>17,18</sup> The reminder system intervention that currently is being used and found to be effective are automated personalized text messages, automated two way messaging, multi-component reminders, automated health information texts, automated emails, manually entered texts, automated or manually made phone calls, tailored automated motivational messages, leaflet reminders and more for medication adherence, vaccine reminders, appointment reminders, post-op follow-ups, behavioral changes, lifestyle changes, <sup>21-23</sup>

Knowing the benefits and outcome of the health education intervention system, we recommend that it be made as part of the treatment strategy for diabetes patients to improve their health outcomes from their original treatment procedures as it shows it is significantly effective. Text messages and health education images could be used as a model of self-management of diabetes accompanied by multi-modal health system strengthening and other forms of selfmanagement support. More research is needed to study the long-term effects on health outcomes, including its complications. The study had a few limitations. The study did not include patients with diabetes-related complications or type 1 diabetes patients.

#### CONCLUSION

It is evident from the study that there was a significant difference in the group that received health education intervention compared to the standard therapy group. Thus, well-designed text messages with images lead to a change in glycemic control in patients with uncomplicated type 2 diabetes mellitus. Text messages and health education images could be used as a model of self-management of diabetes accompanied by multi-modal health system strengthening and other forms of self-management support.

#### REFERENCES

- Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019 Nov;157:107843.
- Anjana RM, Deepa M, Pradeepa R, Mahanta J, Narain K, Das HK, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in 15 states of India: results from the ICMR–INDIAB population-based crosssectional study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017;5(8):585– 96. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30174-2.
- 3. Tripathy JP. Burden and risk factors of diabetes and hyperglycemia in India: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2018 Jul 31;11:381-387. doi: 10.2147/DMS0.S157376.
- Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C. Current scenario of diabetes in India. J Diabetes. 2009 Mar;1(1):18-28. doi:10.1111/j.1753-0407.2008.00004.x.
- Chandra P, Gogate B, Gogate P, Thite N, Mutha A, Walimbe A. Economic burden of diabetes in urban indians. Open Ophthalmol J. 2014 Dec 31;8:91-4. doi:10.2174/1874364101408010091.
- Schlienger J-L. Complications du diabète de type 2. Presse Médicale. 2013 May;42(5):839–48.
- Fry JP, Neff RA. Periodic prompts and reminders in health promotion and health behavior interventions: systematic review. J Med Internet Res. 2009 May 14;11(2):e16. doi:

10.2196/jmir.1138.

- 8. Effectiveness of Health Reminders for Better Patient Outcomes [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 13]. Available from: https://www.patientbond.com/blog/how-effective-arehealth-reminders-in-improving-patient-health-outcome.
- WHO. News. COVID-19 significantly impacts health services for noncommunicable diseases.2020. Available at https://www.who.int/news/item/01-06-2020-covid-19significantly-impacts-health-services-for-noncommunicablediseases. Last accessed on 12 July 2021
- Gregg EW, Chen H, Wagenknecht LE, Clark JM, Delahanty LM, Bantle J, et al. Association of an Intensive Lifestyle Intervention With Remission of Type 2 Diabetes. JAMA. 2012 Dec 19;308(23):2489. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.67929.
- Nethan S, Sinha D, Mehrotra R. Non Communicable Disease Risk Factors and their Trends in India. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2017 Jul 27;18(7):2005-2010. doi:10.22034/APJCP.2017.18.7.2005.
- 12. Wang B, Li R, Lu Z, Huang Y. Does comorbidity increase the risk of patients with COVID-19: evidence from meta-analysis. Aging (Albany NY). 2020 Apr 8;12(7):6049-6057. doi:10.18632/aging.103000.
- John O, Gummidi B, Tewari A, Muliyil JP, Ghosh A, Sehgal M, et al. Study to test and operationalize preventive approaches for CKD of undetermined etiology in Andhra Pradesh, India. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2019;4:1412–9. doi:10.1016/j.ekir.2019.06.003.
- Hawthorne K, Robles Y, Cannings-John R, Edwards AG. Culturally appropriate health education for type 2 diabetes mellitus in ethnic minority groups. In: The Cochrane Collaboration, editor. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Internet]. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2008 [cited 2021 Oct 16]. p. CD006424.pub2. Available from: https://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.CD006424.pub2
- Chawla SS, Kaur S, Bharti A, Garg R, Kaur M, Soin D, et al. Impact of health education on knowledge, attitude, practices and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2019;8(1):261. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_228\_18.
- Ahmed M, Degwy H, Ali M, Hegazy N. The effect of educational intervention on knowledge, attitude and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2015;302–7.
- 17. Schectman JM, Nadkarni MM, Voss JD. The Association Between Diabetes Metabolic Control and Drug Adherence in an Indigent Population. Diabetes Care. 2002;25(6):1015–21.
- Lo WT, Ki-Yan Mak D, Ming-Cheuk Wong M, Chan O, Mo-Ching Chui E, Wai-Sau Chung D, et al. Achieving better outcomes for schizophrenia patients in Hong Kong: Strategies for improving treatment adherence. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2021 Mar;27(S1):12–9.
- Hibbard JH, Greene J. What The Evidence Shows About Patient Activation: Better Health Outcomes And Care Experiences; Fewer Data On Costs. Health Aff (Millwood). 2013 Feb;32(2):207–14.
- Bendtsen M, Müssener U, Linderoth C, Thomas K A Mobile Health Intervention for Mental Health Promotion Among University Students: Randomized Controlled Trial JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2020;8(3):e17208 doi: 10.2196/17208
- Szilagyi PG, Bordley C, Vann JC, Chelminski A, Kraus RM, Margolis PA, Rodewald LE. Effect of patient reminder/recall interventions on immunization rates: A review. JAMA. 2000 Oct 11;284(14):1820-7. doi: 10.1001/jama.284.14.1820.
- 22. Macharia WM, Leon G, Rowe BH, Stephenson BJ, Haynes RB. An overview of interventions to improve compliance with appointment keeping for medical services. JAMA. 1992 Apr 1;267(13):1813-7. PMID: 1532036.
- Schwebel FJ, Larimer ME. Using text message reminders in health care services: A narrative literature review. Internet Interv. 2018 Jun 21;13:82-104. doi:10.1016/j.invent.2018.06.002.