

Resurgence of Mumps in India: A Call to Reintroduce MMR into the Immunization Program

Lalithambigai Chellamuthu¹, Anlin Jenisha^{2*}

^{1,2}Department of Community Medicine, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry, India

DOI: 10.55489/njcm.160720255590

ABSTRACT

The recent rise in mumps outbreaks across several Indian states exposes a critical gap in the national immunization framework specifically, the absence of mumps vaccination in the Universal Immunization Programme (UIP). As mumps is currently excluded from the India's Universal Immunization Program and only the MR vaccine is administered, millions of children remain susceptible to this vaccine-preventable disease. In accordance with World Health Organization (WHO) and Indian Academy of Paediatrics (IAP) guidelines, this communication urges policymakers to reintroduce the MMR vaccine into India's Universal Immunization Programme (UIP).

Key words: Mumps, Resurgence, Immunization, India, Programme

ARTICLE INFO

Financial Support: None declared

Conflict of Interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interests exists.

Received: 02-05-2025, **Accepted:** 14-06-2025, **Published:** 01-07-2025

***Correspondence:** Dr. Anlin Jenisha (Email: anlinjeni@gmail.com)

How to cite this article: Chellamuthu L, Jenisha A. Resurgence of Mumps in India: A Call to Reintroduce MMR into the Immunization Program. Natl J Community Med 2025;16(7):742-745. DOI: 10.55489/njcm.160720255590

Copy Right: The Authors retain the copyrights of this article, with first publication rights granted to Medsci Publications.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike (CC BY-SA) 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, adapt, and build upon the work commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

www.njcmindia.com | pISSN: 0976-3325 | eISSN: 2229-6816 | Published by Medsci Publications

INTRODUCTION

Mumps is a viral infection caused by a paramyxovirus and is often underestimated as a mild illness of childhood and adults.¹ The virus primarily spreads through respiratory droplets from infected individuals, with an incubation period ranging from 12 to 25 days.²⁻⁴ Clinically, it typically manifests as painful swelling of one or both parotid glands and may be preceded by nonspecific symptoms such as fever, headache, fatigue, and loss of appetite.³ Serious complications can occur, including orchitis, oophoritis, mastitis, aseptic meningitis, encephalitis, and sensorineural hearing loss, especially in adolescents and adults.^{2,5} Mumps is preventable with two doses of the MMR vaccine, which is widely administered in many high- and middle-income countries.⁶

CURRENT EPIDEMIOLOGY

India is witnessing an alarming resurgence of mumps, a disease once believed to be under control, in recent years. Insufficient data from various regions of the nation understate the true magnitude of the disease burden.⁷ Recent outbreaks in Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Puducherry, and Maharashtra have raised public health concerns. Tamil Nadu recorded a rise in incidence from 0.07 per lakh in 2021–22 to 1.30 in 2023–24, totalling 1,091 cases. In Navi Mumbai, 217 cases were reported between December 2023 and February 2024 and over 90% of affected children were unvaccinated. Kerala saw a dramatic surge from 2,324 cases in 2023 to over 70,000 in 2024.⁸⁻¹⁰

SURVEILLANCE GAPS

Although mumps is a vaccine-preventable childhood disease, it is not a notifiable condition under India's Integrated Disease Surveillance Program (IDSP) via the Integrated Health Information Portal (IHIP). Only under the generic "other diseases" category can health services record suspected, probable, or laboratory-confirmed cases or deaths.¹¹ This absence of regular monitoring causes under-detection and public health response delays. Many instances, particularly those with subclinical presentation or moderate symptoms, are likely to go undetected. This surveillance gap contributes to recurring outbreaks and hides the actual population disease load. A study by Narmatha K et al. found a consistent rise in mumps incidence over the years, with a notable spike in 2024.¹² This trend bolsters the case for designating mumps as a notifiable disease in states such as Tamil Nadu, Puducherry to enhance monitoring and carry out prompt control actions. The present resurgence is not only an epidemiological footnote; it is a symptom of a larger gap in India's immunization strategy: the Measles-Mumps-Rubella (MMR) vaccination's absence from the Universal Immunisation Programme (UIP).¹³

POLICY BACKGROUND

Among the largest public health initiatives worldwide, India's Universal Immunization Program has made significant strides in reducing child mortality and controlling vaccine-preventable diseases.¹⁴ State governments previously implemented the MMR vaccine through selective initiatives, rather than including it in the central Universal Immunization Programme (UIP). For instance, Delhi introduced a single dose of MMR at 15–18 months in 1999, and states like Kerala briefly replaced the 16–24-month MR booster with MMR. However, these were localized efforts. In a strategic policy shift, the Government of India later introduced the bivalent Measles-Rubella (MR) vaccine nationally administered at 9 months and again at 16–24 months thereby excluding mumps from routine immunization across the country.^{11,15} The main reasons cited for excluding mumps were its perceived low public health priority, limited published data on community burden, and the higher cost of the MMR vaccine.¹¹ While financially rationalized, this exclusion inadvertently created a significant immunity gap, particularly in vulnerable populations.¹⁶

EQUITY AND ACCESS

Despite the availability of MMR vaccine in India's private healthcare system, its lack from the UIP restricts access for socioeconomically disadvantaged populations, especially in rural and underserved regions.⁸ This disparity undermines the principles of equity and universality upon which the Universal Immunization Programme was founded, as families in lower-income settings are often unaware of or unable to afford private-sector MMR, effectively excluding them from comprehensive protection. As recently observed, this selective coverage compromises herd immunity and promotes localised outbreaks. Furthermore, the COVID-19 epidemic has thrown regular immunization programs off track, increasing immunity gaps and contributing to the re-emergence of previously controlled diseases.¹⁶

Data from the Indian Academy of Paediatrics (IAP) and multiple surveillance reports support the efficacy and safety of MMR. The IAP recommends the administration of MMR at 9 months, 15 months, and 4–6 years of age.¹⁷ Studies also suggest that inclusion of mumps vaccination significantly reduces outbreaks and associated complications. The current epidemiological trends make a compelling case for transitioning from MR to MMR within the national programme.¹⁸

ADDRESSING COST AND VACCINE OVERLOAD CONCERNS

Concerns around cost and vaccine overload are valid but addressable. The MMR vaccine offers protection

against three diseases in a single shot, thus not increasing the number of injections. While MMR is slightly more expensive than MR, studies show that it is a cost-saving strategy in the long run. For example, a mumps outbreak investigation in Odisha found the average treatment cost per case was ₹1,030, totalling over ₹34,000 for 34 cases, while the cost of one MMR dose was only ₹76.5. The authors emphasized the economic and preventive value of vaccination, particularly in tribal communities.¹⁹ Additionally, a large-scale US-based economic evaluation by Zhou et al. estimated that routine childhood immunization programs, including MMR, resulted in over 86% reduction in costs associated with vaccine-preventable diseases. These findings support the inclusion of MMR as a financially prudent and health-protective public policy.²⁰

REGIONAL AND GLOBAL BEST PRACTICES

Countries with comparable health system structures and economic conditions such as Sri Lanka, Bhutan, and Bangladesh provide strong examples of MMR integration into public immunization programs. Sri Lanka's National Immunization Programme administers MMR in two doses, at 12 months and 3 years, as part of its routine schedule, contributing to significant control over mumps outbreaks (Ministry of Health, Sri Lanka, 2023). Bhutan's EPI manual also includes MMR, aligning with WHO guidelines for comprehensive vaccine coverage (MoH Bhutan, 2025). Although Bangladesh currently provides the MR vaccine, policy discussions are underway for MMR integration, recognizing its broader protective scope (DGHS Bangladesh, 2024). These examples reinforce the operational feasibility and public health value of transitioning from MR to MMR in India's UIP.²¹⁻²³

CONCLUSION

Reintroducing MMR into the UIP would be a calculated way to bolster India's public health defence against vaccine-preventable diseases. It is not only urgent but also feasible, as demonstrated by successful implementation in countries with similar healthcare infrastructures. Beyond protecting against mumps, it would also improve measles and rubella control by boosting overall vaccine coverage and reinforcing public trust in the immunization system. This triple benefit aligns well with India's elimination goals for measles and rubella under the National Strategic Plan. To make this shift sustainable, investments in cold chain logistics, training, and community engagement are essential. Strengthened disease surveillance will also play a key role in monitoring outcomes and guiding policy.

India possesses the technological, logistical, and programmatic capacity to expand its vaccination cover-

age. The moment is now for public health stakeholders, immunization specialists, and policy-makers to re-evaluate present policies and prioritize the inclusion of MMR in the UIP. Failure to act now risks normalizing the periodic resurgence of a disease that is both preventable and controllable.

Author Contributions: LC and AJ contributed to the concepts, design, definition of intellectual content, literature search, data acquisition, data analysis, manuscript preparation, manuscript editing, and manuscript review.

REFERENCES

1. McLean HQ, Fiebelkorn AP, Temte JL, Wallace GS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention of measles, rubella, congenital rubella syndrome, and mumps, 2013: summary recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2013 Jun 14;62(RR-04):1-34.
2. Mumps - StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf [Internet]. [cited 2025 April 17]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK534785/>
3. Rubin S, Eckhaus M, Rennick LJ, Bamford CG, Duprex WP. Molecular biology, pathogenesis and pathology of mumps virus. *J Pathol.* 2015 Jan;235(2):242-52. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4445> PMID:25229387 PMCID:PMC4268314
4. Lam E, Rosen JB, Zucker JR. Mumps: an Update on Outbreaks, Vaccine Efficacy, and Genomic Diversity. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2020 Feb 26;33(2):e00151-19. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00151-19> PMID:32102901 PMCID:PMC7048016
5. CDC. Clinical Features of Mumps [Internet]. Mumps. 2024 [cited 2025 May 2]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mumps/hcp/clinical-signs/index.html>
6. CDC. Mumps Vaccine Recommendations [Internet]. Mumps. 2024 [cited 2025 April 17]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mumps/hcp/vaccine-considerations/index.html>
7. Shenoy B. Mumps resurgence-strategy ahead. *Karnataka Paediatr J.* 2024 Aug 17;39(2):45-6. Doi: https://doi.org/10.25259/KPJ_17_2024
8. Bhanu KSS, Nadimpalli M, Manchu MM, Duggirala M, Vantaku VV. A study on mumps infection in children aged 1-12 years in a tertiary care hospital, South India: a cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics.* 2025; 12(1):118-23. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.18203/2349-3291.ijcp.20243865>
9. Dharmapalan D, Gavhane J, Patil A, Shahane S, Warad S, Yewale Y, et al. Mumps Epidemic in Navi Mumbai in 2023-24: Lessons for the Health Management System. *Indian Pediatr.* 2024 Dec;61(12):1123-6. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13312-024-3334-6> PMID:39351836
10. Kerala struggles with a surge in mumps cases as infections cross 70,000 this year [Internet]. *The Week.* [cited 2025 April 31]. Available from: <https://www.theweek.in/news/health/2024/12/18/kerala-struggles-with-a-surge-in-mumps-cases-as-infections-cross-70-000-this-year.html>
11. Kumari S, Gupta S, Singh M, Kishore J. Does India Need to Re-think the Inclusion of the MMR (Measles, Mumps, and Rubella) Vaccine in the National Immunization Schedule? *Epidemiology International* 2024 Mar 18;9(1):1-4. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.24321/2455.7048.202401>
12. Narmatha K, Abinaya P, Mathivanan SR, Logaraj M. Epidemiology of reported mumps cases in Tamil Nadu, April 2021 to March 2024. *Tamil Nadu J Public Health Med Res.* 2024 Jul-Sep;4(3):80-84.

13. Shift to MR vaccine poses risk of mumps. *The Times of India* [Internet]. 2023 Dec 13 [cited 2025 May 17]; Available from: <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/hyderabad/shift-to-mr-vaccine-poses-risk-of-mumps/articleshow/105947116.cms>
14. Immunization and Child Health | UNICEF India [Internet]. [cited 2025 April 17]. Available from: <https://www.unicef.org/india/what-we-do/immunization>
15. Gomber S, Arora SK, Das S, Ramachandran VG. Immune response to second dose of MMR vaccine in Indian children. *Indian J Med Res*. 2011 Sep;134(3):302-6.
16. Hamson E, Forbes C, Wittkopf P, Pandey A, Mendes D, Kowalik J, et al. Impact of pandemics and disruptions to vaccination on infectious diseases epidemiology past and present. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 19(2):2219577. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2023.2219577> PMID:37291691
17. Shah N, Ghosh A, Kumar K, Dutta T, Mahajan M. A review of safety and immunogenicity of a novel measles, mumps, rubella (MMR) vaccine. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 20(1):2302685. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2024.2302685>
18. Abu Bashar MD, Khan IA, Sridevi G. Recent Surge in Mumps Cases in India: Need for Urgent Remedial Measures. *Indian Pediatr*. 2024 Apr;61(4):370-4. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13312-024-3162-8> PMID:38597102
19. Paul S, Mahajan PB, Sahoo J, Bhatia V, Subba SH. Investigating Mumps Outbreak in Odisha, India: An Opportunity to Assess the Health System by Utilizing the Essential Public Health Services Framework. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2017 May 3; 96(5):1215-21. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0593>
20. Koplan JP, Preblud SR. A Benefit-Cost Analysis of Mumps Vaccine. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*. 1982 Apr 1; 136(4):362-4. Doi: 10.1001/archpedi.1982.03970400080021
21. Sarma H, Budden A, Luies SK, Lim SS, Shamsuzzaman Md, Sultana T, et al. Implementation of the World's largest measles-rubella mass vaccination campaign in Bangladesh: a process evaluation. *BMC Public Health*. 2019 Jul 10;19(1):925. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7176-4>
22. Epidemiology Unit, Ministry of Health - Sri Lanka. National Immunization Schedule [Internet]. Colombo: Ministry of Health; 2023 [cited 2025 April 31]. Available from: https://www.epid.gov.lk/storage/post/pdfs/en_6403b42a75fa4_Doc 2.pdf
23. Ministry of Health - Royal Government of Bhutan. Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) Manual. Thimphu: MoH Bhutan; 2025 [cited 2025 April 31]. Available from: <https://moh.gov.bt/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/Revised-EPI-Manual.pdf>